FY2013 LSOHC Allocation Summary

Project				# selecting	TOTAL of all	Division			Average Rounded down	Running Total of the rounded		Council Member Comments
ID	Project Title	Organization	Fund Request	\$	Members	Factor	AVER	AGE	to nearest 10K	down amount	million	
W A 01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	Board of Water and Soil Resources	\$25,000,000	12	\$ 171,130,000	12	\$ 14,26	60,833	\$ 14,260,000	\$ 14,260,000	14.9%	DH: Only if Federal match is 1.6:1, SR: strive for projects that meet the 4/1 upland to wetland ratio, RS: Would increase if possible; matching money here
P A 09	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 4	Pheasants Forever	\$7,500,000	12	\$ 67,000,000	12	\$ 5,58	83,333	\$ 5,580,000	\$ 19,840,000	20.7%	DM: no PILT and has Federal match, RS: Consider wetland restoration high value
X 01	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program	MN DNR	\$6,000,000	12	\$ 62,000,000	12	\$ 5,16	66,667	\$ 5,160,000	\$ 25,000,000	26.1%	SR: request monthly updates from the grant andimistrator to the council representitive, RS: May reduce if insufficient grants??, LL: \$500,000 to go to invasives (part of my 5% set aside for invasives)
W RE 01	Living Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Initiative	Ducks Unlimited	\$8,000,000	12	\$ 55,765,341	12	\$ 4,64	47,112	\$ 4,640,000	\$ 29,640,000	30.9%	RS: Need more coordination with dnr shallow lake project, JK: Easements private
H RE 02	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Habitat Enhancement Program	Minnesota Trout Unlimited	\$2,699,000	12	\$ 26,347,000	12	\$ 2,19	95,583	\$ 2,190,000	\$ 31,830,000	33.2%	RS: Good return for the money; high voluntary effort
P RE 02	Habitat Restoration/Enhancement in the Anoka Sandplain -Phase 2	Great River Greening	\$1,455,720	12	\$ 13,116,440	12	\$ 1,09	93,037	\$ 1,090,000	\$ 32,920,000	34.4%	RS: high metro value
W A 02	Columbus Lake Conservation Area	Anoka County Parks and Recreation	\$1,017,000	12	\$ 11,835,000	12	\$ 98	86,250	\$ 980,000	\$ 33,900,000	35.4%	RS: Metro values
P A 04	Minnesota Prairie Recovery Project 3	The Nature Conservancy	\$7,699,181	11	\$ 52,500,000	11	\$ 4,77	72,727	\$ 4,770,000	\$ 38,670,000	40.4%	DH: Change annual lease of vehicles to purchase as this is cheaper, DM: Interesting way taxes will be paid, SR: all future allocations to this effort must be in the current work areas no new or additional work areas, RS: Large personel budget is troublesome, JK: Conflict
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 3	Minnesota Valley National Wildlife Refuge Trust, Inc.	\$8,453,310	11	\$ 41,936,600	11	\$ 3,81	12,418	\$ 3,810,000	\$ 42,480,000	44.4%	DM: prefer restoration, enhancement and non-PILT acquire, RS: Would increase if possible
H RE 01	Lower St. Louis River Habitat Restoration	MN DNR Fisheries, Region 2	\$3,793,772	11	\$ 29,642,544	12			\$ 2,470,000	\$ 44,950,000	46.9%	RB: Knowlton and 40th ave projects, RS: Gets project started;
F A 02	Mississippi River Northwoods Habitat Complex Protection	The Trust for Public Land and Partners	\$14,500,000	10	\$ 123,930,000	12			\$ 10,320,000	\$ 55,270,000	57.7%	DH: strongly suggest that the county be the final owner, RS: one-half payment with other half next year, JK: Excl site dev
H A 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	MN DNR	\$12,204,000	10	\$ 43,250,000	12	\$ 3,60	04,167	\$ 3,600,000	\$ 58,870,000	61.5%	DM: prefer restoration and easement over fee acquire, SR: dollars must be used for AMA acquisition and restoration of the acquired parcels only, LB: Need to complete DNR shoreland mgt standards, RS: Need to do more with lake associations; need tougher lakeshore regs, JK: PF only 6718K
P A 06	DNR Wildlife Management Area Acquisition	MN DNR	\$8,028,000	10	\$ 36,000,000	12	\$ 3,00	00,000	\$ 3,000,000	\$ 61,870,000	64.6%	
W RE 02	Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement	MN DNR Div. of Fish and Wildlife	\$6,000,000	10	\$ 31,750,000	12	\$ 2,64	45,833	\$ 2,640,000	\$ 64,510,000	67.4%	DH: shorten to 3 years funding, RS: Need more coordination with dnr shallow lake project
P A 05	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex II	The Trust for Public Land	\$2,888,000	10	\$ 21,876,000	12	\$ 1,82	23,000	\$ 1,820,000	\$ 66,330,000	69.3%	
P RE 04	Enhanced Public Land Grasslands	MN Prairie Chicken Society	\$3,000,000	10	\$ 16,500,000	12		75,000	\$ 1,370,000	\$ 67,700,000	70.7%	RS: Test run for society, JK: criteria iffy
P A 08	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program Phase 4	Pheasants Forever	\$6,700,000	9	\$ 40,950,000	12		12,500	\$ 3,410,000	\$ 71,110,000	74.2%	RS: willing to adjust this down or up
P RE 01	DNR Prairie/Grassland Habitat Restoration and Enhancement	MN DNR	\$4,440,767	9	\$ 27,840,767	12		20,064	<u> </u>	\$ 73,430,000	76.7%	RS: Keeps it going
P A 03	Minnesota Buffers for Water and Wildlife - II	Pheasants Forever	\$5,000,000	9	\$ 25,987,280	12		65,607	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 75,590,000	78.9%	DH: Require match as in proposal, require easements to prohibit use of eased property for equipment turnaround, SR: must match with clean water buffer
P A 11	Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase IV	Redwood Area Development Corporation	\$6,306,000	9	\$ 21,500,000	12		, , , ,		\$ 77,380,000	80.8%	SR: prefer Murray county projects identified, RS: Wish for more dollars
F A 06	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Society	\$3,909,500	9	\$ 16,750,000	12		,	\$ 1,390,000	\$ 78,770,000	82.2%	RS: Gets project started; lower priority compared to wetlands
H RE 05	Knife River Habitat Restoration	Lake Superior Steelhead Association	\$400,000	9	\$ 3,400,000	12	\$ 28	83,333	\$ 280,000	\$ 79,050,000	82.5%	RS: good return for the money; high voluntary effort, JK: Contingent on permitting. Confirm no 2nd Falls involvement?

FY2013 LSOHC Allocation Summary

Project ID	Project Title	Organization	Fund Request	# selecting S	TOTAL of all Members	Division Factor	AVERAGE	Average Rounded down to nearest 10K	Running Total of the rounded down amount		Council Member Comments
F RE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative	•	\$6,566,151	т —	\$ 29,300,000	12	\$ 2,441,667		\$ 81,490,000	85.1%	RB: Small openings are better for deer- moose projects should be larger openings and clear cuts, LB: Restore
		Association	¥ 3/2 3 3/2 3	,			, ,,,,,,,,	7 3, 110,000	,,,		through proper timber mgt and fund with timber reserves, RS: No evidence that habitat is limiting factor; moose research lacking info on moose calf survival., JK: Contingent on deer feed ban and moose hunt moratorium. Compelling business/public/conservation support.
P A 02	SNA and Native Prairie Bank Easement Acquisition	MN DNR	\$3,276,027	8	\$ 14,450,000	12	\$ 1,204,167	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 82,690,000	86.3%	SR: native prairie bank easments only, JK: Require open SNAs
P A 07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	The Nature Conservancy	3,500,000- 1,583,000 = \$1,917,000	8	\$ 11,948,000	11	\$ 1,086,182	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 83,770,000	87.5%	RS: reallocate the \$1,583,000, JK: Conflict
P A 10	Prairie Chicken Wildlife Habitat Partnership	MN Prairie Chicken Society	\$3,150,000	8	\$ 12,400,000	12	\$ 1,033,333	\$ 1,030,000	\$ 84,800,000	88.5%	RS: Test run for society
H RE 03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	Red Lake Watershed District	\$2,764,000	7	\$ 15,028,000	12	\$ 1,252,333	\$ 1,250,000	\$ 86,050,000	89.8%	RS: Project ready to go
F A 04	Root River Watershed Acquisition and Restoration	MN DNR	\$3,239,987	7	\$ 13,339,987	12	\$ 1,111,666	\$ 1,110,000	\$ 87,160,000	91.0%	DH: Must be SNA not state park, RS: Southeast critical habitat protection, JK: MLT easements not open; see FA08 Root River
F A 08	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	MN DNR	\$4,058,000	7	\$ 11,105,000	12	\$ 925,417	\$ 920,000	\$ 88,080,000	92.0%	RB: projects 1 and 2, RS: Gets project started, JK: Root River WMA only @ 1203K; see FA04
F A 07	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitat Lands Cass County	Cass County	\$718,400	7	\$ 4,158,428	12	\$ 346,536	\$ 340,000	\$ 88,420,000	92.3%	RS: Low priority compared to others this year
P A 01	Conserving Grasslands and Working Lands for Wildlife	MN DNR / BWSR	\$10,000,000	6	\$ 26,000,000	12	\$ 2,166,667	\$ 2,160,000	\$ 90,580,000	94.6%	RB: Develop burn-crew language to facilitate greater vendr participation, DM: prefer easement over aquired
F A 01	Protecting Mississippi River Corridor Habitat ACUB Partnership	Morrison SWCD, Camp Ripley, BWSR	\$3,097,900	6	\$ 11,000,000	12	\$ 916,667	\$ 910,000	\$ 91,490,000	95.5%	RS: Gets project started; lower priority compared to wetlands
F A 09	Acquisition: State Forest Land	MN DNR	\$2,110,000	6	\$ 9,250,000	12	\$ 770,833	\$ 770,000	\$ 92,260,000	96.3%	RS: Not highest priority
W RE 03	Marsh Lake Enhancement	MN DNR Div. of Fish and Wildlife	\$3,264,000	5	\$ 12,964,000	12	\$ 1,080,333	\$ 1,080,000	\$ 93,340,000	97.5%	RS: Federal contribution up in the air; maybe next year
H A 03	Riparian and Lakeshore Protection/ Managemment in Dakota County	Dakota County	\$3,200,000	5	\$ 11,700,000	12	\$ 975,000	\$ 970,000	\$ 94,310,000	98.5%	DH: No funds for public access, LB: Very little habitat value, good clean water project, RS: Great example for all Mn counties, JK: H2O Qual vs Habitat
F RE 01	MN DNR Accelerated Forest Wildlife Habitat Program	MN DNR	\$1,720,000	4	\$ 4,750,000	12	\$ 395,833	\$ 390,000	\$ 94,700,000	98.9%	LB: Accomplish through timber mgt and fund with timber revenues, RS: Low priority compared to others this year, JK: Excl no hunt/fish parcels (Boot Lake & Chamberlain SNAs)
F A 05	Conservation Easements in the Avon Hills using MMAPLE	Saint Johns Arboretum	\$1,354,000	4	\$ 3,702,000	12	\$ 308,500	\$ 300,000	\$ 95,000,000	99.2%	RS: one half payment with other half next year
H RE 06	Zequanox as the control for Zebra Mussels	Douglas County Lakes Association	\$350,000	4	\$ 1,300,000	12	\$ 108,333	\$ 100,000	\$ 95,100,000	99.3%	RS: Research needed first, LL: This is included in my 5 % set aside for invasives
H RE 04	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Habitat Restoration and Enhancement	Nicollet Soil & Water Conservation District	\$518,000	3	\$ 1,218,000	12	\$ 101,500	\$ 100,000	\$ 95,200,000	99.4%	DH: Refer tp small grants program for demo program that included easements to create buffers as well as restoration but not for pipe connecton to move water downhill, RS: Need more coordination on private land to reduce erosion, JK: H2O Qual vs Habitat?
P RE 03	Mid-Minnesota River Corridor Habitat Restoration and Enhancement	MN DNR	\$1,317,000	1	\$ 1,317,000	12	\$ 109,750	\$ 100,000	\$ 95,300,000	99.5%	DH: Nothing for golf course plantings , RS: Important for MN River, JK: Sentence to Serve, Conservation Corps for labor
X 02	Contract Management	MN DNR	175,000	0	\$ -	Amount alread	ly allocated and refle	cted in the \$95,780,0	000 members had to	spend	JK: 43% increase
X 03	Restoration Audits	MN DNR	45,000	0	\$ -	Amount alread	ly allocated and refle	cted in the \$95,780,0	000 members had to	spend	
	Unallocated or allocated to projects not heard by the Council						\$ 13,422,613				D. McNamara did not spend \$9,212,000 to be available for AIS Issues, L. Lillie set 5% aside for invasives = \$3,900,000 + 350,000 (Zequanox) + \$500,000 (CPL grants for invasives) = \$4,750,000, R. Schara did not spend \$16,013 for unknown reasons, and T. Saxhaug did not spend \$294,600 for unknown reasons.
	General Comments:						,:=,:20		80% of \$95,780,000 is \$76,642,000		DM: did not spend \$9,212,000 to be available for AIS Issue, RS: Nothing is written in stone here. Conflict is, does one fund most with some dollars or fund a few projects with total request and zero others? Mind is open, LL: 5% set aside for invasives = \$3,900,000 + 350,000 (Zequanox) + \$500,000 (CPL grants for invasives)
]		\$ 1,135,937,387			\$ 95,300,000			= \$4,750,000